

Antonio Gramsci's Concept of Hegemony: A Critical Analysis

Sheetal Sharma

Department of Political Science

Institute for Excellence in Higher Education (IEHE) Bhopal, M.P. India

Abstract

An Italian Marxist philosopher Antonio Gramsci was an innovative and wide-ranging thinker whose interpretations of Marxism helped rescue it from criticism of crude economic determinism and reductionism. In the words of Stuart Hall: 'Reading Gramsci has fertilised our political imagination, transformed our way of thinking, our style of thought, our whole political project'. Gramsci has creatively used terms such as hegemony, civil society, organic intellectuals etc. that has added a new dimension to political vocabulary. In this research paper the political thought, ideology, and concepts of Antonio Gramsci have been explored. The ideas which had been given by Gramsci have had a significant impact on political science and continue to influence contemporary discussions as well. This paper provides an overview of Gramsci's life and intellectual background, delves into his key concepts such as cultural hegemony and provides a balanced criticism. It began by talking about his early life, because upbringing and surroundings have a deep influence on an individual's thinking, and then it has attempted to focus on his political thought.

Keywords: Antonio Gramsci, Cultural Hegemony, Marxism, power, integral state, civil society, intellectual

Introduction

Antonio Gramsci came into the world in 1891 on the island of Sardinia, Italy. His formative years were characterized by adversity and financial hardship, as his family grappled with economic challenges. Nevertheless, Gramsci displayed an exceptional aptitude for learning and academic pursuits, overcoming these obstacles with remarkable determination.

During his youth, he was awarded a scholarship that enabled him to attend the University of Turin, where he delved into the realms of linguistics, literature, and philosophy. Simultaneously, he became a member of the Italian Socialist Party, marking the inception of his active involvement in politics. With rapidity, Gramsci climbed the ranks within the party, ultimately assuming the work of editor for "Avanti!", a socialist publication. He swiftly gained prominence within the labor movement, cementing his status as a well-recognized figure. His early life experiences, both in Sardinia and Turin, along with his upbringing, left an indelible mark on Gramsci, significantly shaping his outlook and trajectory.

Gramsci was Mussolini's contemporaries. He founded the Italian Communist Party. He was regarded as one of the most dangerous brains. Gramsci was arrested by Mussolini's regime, and due to his deteriorating health, he passed away at a relatively young age while in captivity. His writings during his imprisonment were later published under the title "Prison Notebooks". These notebooks remain a cornerstone of modern political theory due to their abundance of revelations about the dynamics of authority, civil society, and the role of intellectuals. These notebooks hold significant importance for those interested in a more democratic, less rigid form of socialism, as

they helped reshape Marxism, moving it away from simplistic economic determinism. Gramsci is often regarded as the pioneer of Cultural Marxism.

Starting with his early days as a dedicated socialist activist and following through his imprisonment during Mussolini's fascist regime, we will trace the evolution of his thoughts.

Purpose of Gramsci as a thinker was to know that why Marxist predictions didn't work out and why revolution didn't happen for that he critically examined the explanation of history given by Marx. Meanwhile, he also went through other explanations of history and the theory of history given by Italian Historian Benedetto Croce impressed him a lot. In which he has given the explanation of history through cultural and ideological perspective. Hence he realized that Marx has ignored these aspects in his explanation and then he gave his theories.

Gramsci's Concept of Hegemony

Hegemony entails the construction of agreement or consent. It denotes the exertion of control over the intellectual aspects of a society through cultural means. The term "Hegemony" finds its roots in the Greek word "hegemonia", signifying leadership and rule. Leadership also involves coercion, compelling subordinates to carry out directives, but it leans on the power of attraction or the generation of consent. For instance, the British State embodied coercive force, while Gandhi epitomized an attractive force. In democratic settings, the dominant class secures the requisite level of consent to establish its authority. Hegemony encompasses the ruling class's capacity to inhabit the thoughts of subaltern classes. In democracies, this class rules by generating an adequate amount of consent, achieved through their portrayal as responsive to the concerns of the governed.

Hegemony defines the limit of common sense. thus it needs to be continuously produced. Hegemony represents a form of power that is deeply ingrained in the oppressed as common sense, setting the boundaries of what is considered acceptable. It embodies soft power, contrasting with hard power applied to the body, as it operates on the mind. While hard power is tangible, soft power is intangible, and while hard power relies on coercion, soft power hinges on consent. Thus, capitalism endures due to its ability to garner consent in its favor.

Civil society like universities, media, educational institutions etc plays a pivotal role in molding consent, with intellectuals occupying a central position in this process.

Along with hegemony he had also given the concept of counter hegemony, which needs to be established by the oppressed class, subordinate class to oppose the hegemony established by ruling class.

Distinctions exist between Hegemony and Counter-Hegemony, not explicitly elucidated in Gramsci's writings. Generally, Counter-Hegemony represents the application of the opposing process to Hegemony, striving to gain acceptance, whereas Hegemony aims to secure acceptance from the governed segment of society. For example, those who favor Hollywood films and consider films from developing countries as inferior and devoid of new ideas subscribe to Hegemony. Conversely, those who believe otherwise, asserting that films from developing country possess value and citing internationally acclaimed works like Satyajit Ray's "Pather Panchali" and "Hirok Rajar Deshe," engage in Counter-Hegemony. People over whom hegemony of western culture has been established considers their lifestyle to be superior and have forgot the culture and roots of their own. But there are few people who are constantly trying

to put forth the great, highly enriched Indian culture and lifestyle mentioned in our religious texts, Vedas and puranas.

Hegemony is not established by state directly and hence Gramsci has talked about the separation of superstructure into Political and Civil Society.

For example- • Political Society or, State or Civil Society

Political Society, or the state, functions as both the guardian of a defined territory and the collective embodiment of bureaucracy. Additionally, it is regarded as an apparatus of control and suppression, mandated to oversee those individuals or groups who dissent, whether through active or passive means.

Civil Society: These are the organizations in society, neither related with economy nor with any state department. For instance- religious institutions, medium of communication, any social media platform, films, universities etc. which shapes the public beliefs and opinions, and produces the bourgeois or state hegemony in cultural life.

Gramsci on Revolution

Gramsci introduces the concept of a two-stage revolution, comprising the "War of Position" and the "War of Maneuver."

The "War of Position" is directed against civil society and aims to establish counter hegemony. In this context, hegemony represents the dominance of the ruling class, while counter hegemony signifies the resistance of marginalized group or depressed classes, as seen in examples like hegemonic ideas of Brahminism and Patriarchy are opposed by counter hegemonic ideas of Dalitism and Feminism. This phase, the "War of Position," necessitates a prolonged struggle.

On the other hand, the "War of Maneuver" follows the success of the "War of Position" and involves swift, direct action to capture the state. This phase is marked by sudden attacks and rapid change.

Bipin Chandra suggests that the Indian national movement led by Gandhi serves as a prime illustration of Gramsci's strategy. Gandhi's mass movement represented the protracted struggle, wherein he fought the British on two fronts: first, by challenging their hegemony or the "War of Position," and then, by launching the "War of Maneuver." The British rule was not solely enforced through coercion but also by persuading Indians that it was beneficial. Gandhi's initial challenge was to dismantle the myths of the "civilizing mission" and "benevolent despotism." He countered these narratives with his own counter hegemony, criticizing modern civilization and revealing its flaws. Furthermore, by showcasing instances of government-imposed taxes on salt and the use of force against non-violent protestors, he debunked the notion of benevolent rule. Once the counter hegemony gained sufficient traction, people began to perceive the British Empire as malevolent. It was at this juncture that Gandhi called for the "War of Maneuver," exemplified by the Quit India movement, where he even sanctioned the use of violence with the rallying cry of "Do or Die."

For this purpose Gramsci has also explained about the 'Intellectual'. Gramsci has given a very different interpretation of intellectuals. The main contribution of intellectuals is that they try to maintain the system. They generate those values and beliefs which help in perpetuation of system. Gramsci has categorised intellectual into two types -

- Traditional intellectuals
- Organic intellectuals

Contemporary Relevance of the Concept of Hegemony

The concept of hegemony, as formulated by Antonio Gramsci, remains highly relevant in contemporary discussions and analyses of power, culture, and social dynamics. Here are several areas where Gramsci's concept of hegemony continues to have contemporary relevance:

Media and Cultural Influence: In today's information age, media and cultural institutions hold significant power in shaping public opinion, values, and norms. Gramsci's notion of cultural hegemony is especially pertinent as it highlights how dominant groups use of media, popular culture, and educational institutions to maintain their control over society's ideological landscape.

Identity Politics: The concept of hegemony is valuable in analyzing the dynamics of identity politics. Marginalized groups often challenge the hegemonic cultural narratives that perpetuate their subordination.

Political Power and Authoritarianism: In the context of politics, the concept of hegemony helps explain how authoritarian leaders and regimes establish and maintain control. Authoritarian governments often seek to establish ideological hegemony by controlling education, media, and cultural institutions. Understanding this dynamic is crucial for those interested in promoting democracy and human rights.

Globalization and Neoliberalism: Gramsci's ideas about economic and political hegemony are relevant in discussions of globalization and neoliberalism. Transnational corporations and global financial institutions can wield immense power, shaping policies and economic systems to their advantage. Examining how these entities establish and maintain their hegemony helps in understanding the global distribution of power and wealth.

Social Movements and Resistance: The concept of counter-hegemony, which Gramsci discussed, is vital for understanding social movements and resistance efforts. Activists and dissident groups often strive to challenge and replace dominant ideologies with alternative narratives and values. Analyzing how these movements build counter-hegemonic coalitions and strategies can offer insights into the dynamics of social change.

Education And Critical Pedagogy: In the field of education, Gramsci's ideas on hegemony continue to inform critical pedagogy. Educators and scholars utilize his concepts to examine how educational systems can either perpetuate or challenge prevailing ideologies. This perspective is essential for those working to create more inclusive and equitable educational environments.

Criticism

The common criticisms of Gramsci's political thought are:

Eurocentrism and Western Bias: Some critics argue that Gramsci's theories are rooted in a Eurocentric perspective, primarily addressing issues in the Western context. They contend that his ideas may not be as applicable or relevant to non-Western societies, leading to a limited understanding of global politics.

Over Emphasis on Culture and Ideology: Gramsci's emphasis on culture, ideology, and hegemony has been criticized for downplaying the role of economic factors and class struggle in shaping political outcomes. Critics argue that his focus on superstructures detracts from the material conditions that influence politics.

Conceptual Ambiguity: Gramsci's writing is often dense and conceptually intricate, which can lead to interpretive ambiguities. Critics point out that his concepts, such as "hegemony" and "organic intellectuals," can be open to various interpretations, making it challenging to apply his ideas consistently.

Revolutionary Praxis: Some critics argue that Gramsci's focus on gradualism and building counter-hegemony through cultural means can be seen as overly cautious and lacking a clear revolutionary praxis. They contend that this approach may not be effective in achieving radical change.

Neglect of Gender and Intersectionality: Gramsci's work has also been criticized for not adequately addressing issues of gender, race, and intersectionality. Critics argue that his analysis often overlooks the experiences and struggles of marginalized groups.

Historical Context and Relevance: Some scholars argue that Gramsci's ideas were developed in response to specific historical conditions, particularly in interwar Italy, and may not be directly applicable to contemporary global politics. They suggest that his theories need to be contextualized and adapted to different times and places.

State-Centrism: Critics have noted that Gramsci's theory of the state can be overly state-centric, which may limit its applicability in analysing non-state actors and transnational politics.

Fragmentation of Gramscian Studies: The diverse interpretations and applications of Gramsci's work have led to a fragmented field of Gramscian studies. Critics argue that this fragmentation can hinder the development of a coherent and unified body of theory.

Conclusion

Gramsci has primarily contributed to political thought through his critique of scientific socialism, economic determinism and the associated dogmatism. He redirected his attention towards the empirical reality, which can be observed through the senses. Gramsci's pivotal shift in Marxist analysis moved away from an exclusive focus on economics and natural science, expanding it to encompass areas such as culture, philosophy, intellectual discourse, psychology, and various channels of socialization. His objective was to liberate Marxism from the notion of an inevitable breakdown and instead emphasize the imperative of a dedicated struggle to capture the hearts and minds of the masses. This shift underscored his democratic disposition. Even today, proponents of humanist or democratic strains within communism draw inspiration from Gramsci's ideas.

References

- "Prison Notebooks" by Antonio Gramsci
- "Antonio Gramsci: Selections from Cultural Writings" edited by David Forgacs and Geoffrey Nowell-Smith
- "Antonio Gramsci: An Introduction to His Thought"
- JSTOR, CORE etc
- Oxford University Press
- Byju's, study iq and other websites

- Springer Science + Business Media
- ResearchGate
- Andrew Heywood
- IGNOU